```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
 4
                       THE HONORABLE JOHN F. WALTER
 5
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING
 6
 7
      United States of America,
                                         )
 8
                        Plaintiff,
                                          )
 9
                                              Case No. CR 13-736-JFW
10
      vs.
                                          )
11
12
      Sinh Vinh Ngo Nguyen,
13
                        Defendant.
14
15
16
17
                   REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18
                   Hearing on Continuance for New Trial
19
                          Los Angeles, California
20
                        Wednesday, November 6, 2013
21
22
     Pamela A. Batalo, CSR, FCRR, RMR
     Official Reporter
23
     Roybal Federal Building
     255 East Temple Street
2.4
     Room 181-I
     Los Angeles, California 90012
     (213) 687-0446
25
```

APPEARANCES:	
FOR THE GOVERNMENT:	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
	BY: JUDITH A. HEINZ
	ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
	312 N. SPRING STREET
	LOS ANGELES, CA 9012
FOR DEFENDANT:	OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
	BY: YASMIN CADER
	DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
	ASHFAQ G. CHOWDHURY
	DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
	321 E. SECOND STREET
	LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
ALSO PRESENT:	AGENT CHARLES CIVILETTO
	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: FOR DEFENDANT:

Los Angeles, California, Wednesday, November 6, 1 2013 2 11:00 a.m. 3 -000-THE CLERK: Calling CR 13-736-JFW, United States of 4 5 America vs. Sinh Vinh Ngo Nguyen. Counsel, please state your appearance. 6 7 MS. HEINZ: Good morning, your Honor. Judith Heinz on behalf of United States. With me at counsel table is Special 8 9 Agent Charles Civiletto of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. 10 MS. CADER: Good morning, your Honor. Yasmin Cader on 11 behalf of Mr. Nguyen. Also at counsel table is attorney Ron 12 Chowdhury, who has joined us as co-counsel in this case. 13 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all. This matter is on the Court's calendar for a hearing 14 15 on the Stipulation Regarding Request for Continuance of Trial 16 that was filed on October 30th, 2013. It appears as Document No. 29. 17 18 I reviewed the stipulation, but without further input 19 or information from counsel, I was not inclined to sign the 20 stipulation, so we put on calendar this morning a hearing so I 21 could have a better idea from counsel as to the status of the 22 case, especially with respect to the discovery in the case. 23 On October 21st, I conducted the initial Trial Setting Conference in this case and issued a Criminal Trial Order which 24 25 set a trial date of December 3rd and various pretrial --

deadline to file pretrial motions.

2.0

And at the hearing, I recognized that the case may require some additional time to prepare and that there might be a request to continue the trial date, as well as the pretrial deadlines that the Court set. However, I thought I expressed my intent to counsel that this case be tried by the end of the year.

This proposed trial date of April 1st, 2014, is certainly inconsistent with that; but nonetheless, I want to discuss with counsel today the various filings that have been made.

In addition to the information provided in the stipulation that I referred to, Document No. 29, I've also received two other documents in connection with the hearing today. One is the Government's Supplemental Notice of Intent to Invoke Classified Information Procedures Act, which was filed in camera ex parte and under seal on October 25, 2013.

The other pleading was filed by counsel for the defendant, and that was a Declaration of Counsel in Support of the Stipulation. That was filed on October 31st, 2013. And that document was filed in camera.

And have you looked at this document?

MS. CADER: I have, your Honor, and I apologize. I see that there was misnumbering. I take full responsibility for that. I had some --

```
THE COURT: It wasn't paginated. The paragraph
1
2
    numbers are -- I think that I've been able to piece it together,
    but really, in future filings, you need to take a closer look
 3
    before they're filed because it was --
 4
 5
              MS. CADER: I understand.
               THE COURT: It was very difficult to follow.
 6
 7
              MS. CADER: I apologize for that, your Honor.
               THE COURT: I also have seen on the docket this
 8
    morning there was another document that was on the docket, and
9
10
    that was filed on November 5th, 2013. It appears as Document
11
    No. 36, but, of course, since I guess I'm a member of the
12
    public, my view is also -- I'm not able to look at it from the
1.3
    docket, and I have no courtesy copy so I have no idea what this
14
    document is.
15
               Is this something that was filed by the Defense or by
16
    the Government?
17
              MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, the Government didn't file
18
    anything yesterday.
19
              MS. CADER: Nor did we, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: We have a mysterious --
21
              MS. CADER: Well, we did file the issue in regards to
22
     the CIPA motion, your Honor, which was filed and the Government
23
    replied to that, but that was last -- I don't believe that
24
    was -- that wasn't yesterday. That was last week.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Well, I quess it will -- the
```

```
problem is if you do file things under seal, I need to have
1
2
    courtesy copies delivered immediately, especially if there's a
    hearing, because by the time the clerk's office brings the
 3
    documents up to the Court, it's sometimes several days, and I
 4
 5
    need to see these documents sooner than later. So I --
 6
              MS. CADER: We didn't file anything, other than the
7
    one declaration under seal, your Honor. That's all that we
     filed under seal.
 8
     (The Court and the Courtroom Deputy Clerk confer off the record)
9
10
               THE COURT: Shannon advises me that she is going to
11
    look into it.
              THE CLERK: Is that what I said?
12
13
              THE COURT: That's how I interpreted what you said.
14
              All right. Those are the two pleadings I have and I
15
    have reviewed in connection with the hearing today.
               So the first question that I have is why the
16
17
    Government has filed a Supplemental Notice of Intent under seal
    ex parte when the original Government's Notice of Intent to
18
19
     Invoke the Classified Information Procedures Act, which was
2.0
     filed on October 16th, 2013, as Document No. 6 was filed on the
21
    public record?
22
              MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor.
23
               Two reasons: No. 1, because the supplemental notice
    contained classified information and could not be filed in the
24
25
    public record; and secondly, because after reading your Honor's
```

```
Criminal Trial Order, I know that your Honor wants very specific
1
2
     information to support a request for a continuance of a trial
    date, and so the Government believed that -- by providing
 3
    certain specific information to your Honor that itself was
 4
 5
    classified could assist your Honor in evaluating that request.
 6
               THE COURT: Well, as I understand the original Notice
7
    of Intent -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- the Government in
    that -- in its original notice advised the Court during the
8
9
    course of the Trial Setting Conference that it did not intend to
10
    offer any -- let me make sure I have it accurate here -- in the
11
    original filing at page 2 -- and I'm reading from Document
    No. 6 -- the Government does not intend to offer into evidence
12
13
    or otherwise use against the defendant any information obtained
    or derived from any, if any, electronic surveillance or physical
14
15
     searches conducted pursuant to Foreign Intelligence Surveillance
16
     Act of 1978 as amended in the proceedings in this case.
17
     the Government will not be serving a notice under Title 50 of
     the United States Code Section 1806(c) and 1825(d).
18
19
               So has there been a change from that?
20
              MS. HEINZ: No, your Honor, there has not been a
21
     change from that, but the Government -- the Government wanted
22
     to -- first of all, wanted to inform your Honor that it
23
    anticipates and it fully expects that it will be filing filings
24
    with your Honor pursuant to CIPA, the Classified Information
25
    Procedures Act; and secondly, as the Government expressed in its
```

supplemental notice, the Government believes that there is classified information here that pertains to this case which the Government expects it will need to bring to your Honor's attention under CIPA. And in order to accomplish that, the Government, quite frankly, needs additional time to do that.

THE COURT: But you indicate that that was supposed to be in the supplemental notice.

The problem I have with the showing is there is no benchmarks as to any of these items. Counsel are all over the lot with respect to we need to do this, we need to have this, we need to do this, we need to dates.

And one of my purposes today is to properly manage this case and make sure that everybody is having sufficient opportunity to do what they need to represent their respective clients in this case. But I'm not going to do it based upon this showing, which I find totally unsatisfactory in terms of my ability to keep control over the management of this case.

So I'm not inclined just simply to sign a stipulation continuing all the dates and then having you come back in here on April -- in a few months saying we need another continuance because if I do grant a continuance, which I obviously am going to do, it's going to be for dates that are going to be certain. They're not going to be continued again. So that's the purpose of spending the time going through this.

So the first additional question that I have is the

```
1
     issues that you raise with respect to the CIPA filings relate to
2
    discovery issues; correct?
 3
               MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: And I just want to make sure that I
 4
 5
    understand the Government's representation, that there is no
 6
     classified information that the Government intends to offer at
7
    the trial.
 8
               MS. HEINZ: That is correct, your Honor.
               THE COURT: So we are dealing with discovery-types of
 9
10
     information.
11
               MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Then the question that I have is the video
1.3
    and audio recordings that we talked about on October 21st, based
    upon what -- I went back and looked at the reporter's notes of
1 4
15
    the hearing. The representation was that all of those many
16
    hours of video and audio had been transcribed, except for three
17
    transcripts, which were going to be completed within a week of
    the October 21st Trial Setting Conference.
18
19
               But I see from the stipulation that it doesn't appear
2.0
    that all of those materials have been transcribed or produced to
21
    the defendants.
22
               MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, they have been transcribed.
23
    They have not been produced because many of them are still
24
    classified and they have to go through a process to be
25
    declassified, and that process is continuing.
```

```
THE COURT: Why didn't you tell me that at the time of
1
2
    the October 21st Trial Setting Conference because it was my
    understanding that within a week, the Defense was going to have
 3
 4
    all of the audio and video recordings of these 50 hours so they
 5
    could commence investigating and preparing a case for motions or
 6
     for trial. And so now you're telling me that there is going to
7
    be a delay turning those materials over because certain of the
     information is classified?
 8
 9
              MS. HEINZ: I apologize, your Honor. I was responding
    to your Honor's questions, and I should have had the foresight
10
11
    to have told your Honor that the material was classified and
12
    needed to be declassified. I apologize for not having that
    foresight.
13
               But the bottom line is much of it has been
14
    declassified and has been produced in discovery, but some of it
15
16
     still has not yet been declassified, and that has to be done
17
    before it can be produced in discovery.
18
               THE COURT: And when is that going to be accomplished
19
    by?
20
              MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, I don't know.
21
               THE COURT:
                           That's my purpose in having this hearing.
22
     I need to know, so whomever it is that's responsible for making
23
    those decisions and putting people on the case in order to make
24
    that determination needs to be here. I'm going to solve that in
25
     a minute. But no more of this I don't know when it's going to
```

2.0

```
be done because the Defense has -- especially with respect to these 50 hours of audio and video. They need to have all of that information in order to even commence preparing a defense in this case or motions.
```

And here we are, November 6th; I'm thinking that as of October 27th, the Defense has all the information with respect to that 50 hours of evidence and they're busily preparing whatever they are going to prepare. And so I find out, not in any clear way but reading between the lines, that that information hasn't been produced.

MS. HEINZ: Special Agent Civiletto has informed me that it will take approximately two weeks to complete the declassification of the transcripts.

THE COURT: How many -- does anybody have any -- well, I'm going to solve that at the end of the hearing because you're going -- I'm going to have counsel meet and confer, and you're going to do a Joint Statement so I know exactly what we're talking about in terms of the identity of these matters.

All right. So then the other item of discovery is the audio and videotape of the defendant's post-arrest statements, which, according to my notes, was approximately an hour, hour and a half.

Am I correct that the audio and videotaped session of the defendant's statements has been produced and that the only thing the Government is trying to do is to prepare or make a

```
1
    better copy of it?
 2
              MS. HEINZ:
                           It has been produced, your Honor, but the
    audio is poor, and so the FBI is attempting to make that audio
 3
    better so that it can be more easily heard.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. And when is that going to be
 5
    accomplished?
 6
 7
              MS. HEINZ: We believe it's been completed, and I
     should have it out, produced to the Defense this week.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Then we have -- the affidavit
 9
10
    to the search warrant, according to my memory and my notes, has
11
    been produced to the Defense; correct?
12
              MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: The Defense has it?
14
              MS. CADER: Yes, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Then there was, during the
     course of the Trial Setting Conference, discussion about various
16
    Facebook pages or videos that appeared on the defendant's
17
18
    Facebook account. Have those been produced?
19
              MS. HEINZ: Facebook material has been produced.
2.0
    There is -- from my understanding, there is additional Facebook
21
    material that may or may not be duplicative of what has already
22
    been produced, and the Government would expect that that would
23
    be produced within the next week.
24
               THE COURT: All right. And I haven't gone back and
25
    looked, but there apparently are a number of items that were
```

```
seized from the defendant on the date of his arrest:
1
2
    backpack, the suitcase, the fake passport, flight itinerary, and
    there was another category -- and I don't know where I got this
 3
     from -- all documents in evidence the defendant gave to the
 4
 5
    undercover FBI agent, and there was a hard drive and I believe a
    cell phone.
 6
 7
               Is that exhaustive of the list of items that were
    seized from the defendant at the time of his arrest?
 8
 9
               MS. HEINZ: I believe so, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: And are --
11
               MS. HEINZ: The copies of the documents that were
12
    seized from the defendant have been produced in discovery.
1.3
    Things like the suitcase and the clothes are available for
1 4
    defendant's inspection.
15
               With respect to the hard drive that was seized from
    defendant, it has been examined. It contains 1 terabyte of
16
    material, all of which is relevant, and it comprises 187 videos,
17
    which are training videos for firearms and shooting and which
18
19
    will take a while to review, I'm sure, for the Defense.
20
               So we are prepared to produce that in discovery once
    we receive a blank hard drive and one size 1 terabyte from the
21
22
    Defense.
23
               The phone, the Samsung phone, has been examined and
2.4
    that -- the contents have been produced to the Defense.
25
               THE COURT: So what's the delay in providing the hard
```

```
drive?
1
 2
              MS. HEINZ: I need -- the Government needs a blank
    hard drive, your Honor, in order to load the material onto it.
 3
              MS. CADER: That's the first time that this has been
 4
 5
    requested of us. We were told that it wasn't available yet, but
 6
    now that it is, we can provide that hard drive today.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. And then there are other items
    of evidence that, according to the Trial Setting Conference,
 8
9
    were seized pursuant to the search of the residence. There was
    some swords, axes, hatchets, and then there were eight
10
11
     computers, four phones, and four gaming devices. What is the
    status of those items?
12
13
              MS. HEINZ: Well, the weapons are available for the
14
    defendant's inspection.
15
               With respect to the digital devices, there are five
16
    digital devices -- two PlayStations, one phone, one iPod, and
17
    one computer -- that have been examined, and the Government is
18
    ready to return those to the family.
19
              And with respect to the remaining approximately four
     computers, they are still be examined.
20
21
               THE COURT: And when will that examination be
22
    complete?
23
               MS. HEINZ: Approximately two weeks, your Honor.
               THE COURT: And are those available to have the
24
25
    Defense deliver a blank hard drive so they can copy them?
```

1.3

2.0

MS. HEINZ: Not at this point, your Honor. I think we would anticipate that probably the data that is on those is probably a lot more manageable, and we may be able to produce that in disks or other format and won't require an entire hard drive. But I won't know the answer to that until they've been fully examined.

THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to order is the following:

I'm going to order counsel to personally meet and confer. We're not going to have an exchange of emails. And as to all of the non-classified information -- non-classified discovery, the Government is going to identify each item of discovery, and if it can be placed into groups, I have no problem with that. And it's going to be disclosed to the Defense and discussed with the Defense.

And then the parties are going to prepare a Joint Report, and for each item of non-classified discovery that's going to be included in the report, I want an identification of the item; I want an indication as to whether or not it has been produced; if so, the date of production; and if it hasn't been produced, when it will be produced; and if it's not going to be produced within 10 days of the date of the Meet and Confer, why it's going to take more than 10 days. And I want the Government to indicate what efforts it has made to speed up the disclosure.

As far as the Defense's part of that Joint Report, if

1.3

2.0

there are any issues with respect to each or any of the items of discovery, such as it's not legible, you don't have pages, there are pages that are missing, then that should be included in the Joint Report. But if the discovery that has been produced is, in the eyes of the Defense, satisfactory or sufficient, then the defendant shall include a statement in the Joint Report that it has no issues with respect to the production.

So that way I will know exactly what it is that's out there, what's been produced, and what's not been produced, and the timetable under which it is going to be produced.

During that same Meet and Confer, I understand that the Defense, based upon its filing, has certain issues or have made or are about to make certain requests of the Government to produce certain items, and I'm specifically referring now to Facebook issues, as well as others that are mentioned.

I'm not going to breach the in camera filing, but to the extent that the Defense has additional requests of the Government to produce items that they believe are appropriately discoverable during the meet-and-confer process, they should advise the Government what those items are, and then the Government can, in the Joint Report, in their section of the Joint Report, as to those items indicate whether or not they believe they're discoverable or they're not going to produce them or they are going to produce them, and what the issues are with respect to those items. That, in my view, will obviate any

discovery motions in this case.

1.3

To the extent that the Government indicates that they're not going to produce a particular item, obviously if it's in the possession of a third party that the Government doesn't have any control over and they are not obligated under the law to produce, I'm sure the Government will assist the Defense, but the Defense is perfectly capable of issuing subpoenas to any third parties that it believes have possession of relevant material in connection with the defense.

So this exercise in the Joint Report gives the Defense an opportunity to determine what the Government will and will not assist, and to the extent that there -- if you want to file a motion, you can file a motion, but don't hold off serving third-party subpoenas because you think you're going to prevail on a Motion to Compel Discovery because if it's available to you through a third-party subpoena, that's the way you're going to get the materials. We're not going to spend an enormous amount of time in discovery -- we're not going to have any discovery motions in this case.

All right. Then with respect to the classified information, the Government is going to -- obviously it's not going to be a Joint Report, but it is going to, in an additional filing, advise the Court exactly what we're talking about in terms of classified versus non-classified information or now, as I understand it, certain information -- I can't imagine how the

```
audio and video of the 50 hours of conversations between the
1
2
    defendant and the undercover can possibly be classified.
               Can you enlighten me as to that?
 3
              MS. HEINZ: Your Honor, I'm not the classifying
 4
 5
    authority and the United States Attorney's Office isn't the
     classifying authority. The classifying authority classified it
 6
7
    and --
               THE COURT: Who's the classifying authority? Is there
 8
    a person, or are we just dealing with some super government --
 9
    who is it?
10
11
              MS. HEINZ: The classifying authority would have
12
    been -- I don't know the name of the person at the Federal
13
    Bureau of Investigation, so -- I don't know the name of the
    person at the Federal Bureau of Investigation who is the
14
    classifying authority, but that is the agency.
15
               THE COURT: So what is this person -- what does this
16
    he or she do?
17
              MS. HEINZ: He or she makes a determination about
18
19
    whether or not material should be classified.
20
               THE COURT: And this person is located in Washington,
21
    D.C.?
22
              MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: And this person now has possession of all
    of the 50 hours of audio and video of the undercover discussions
24
25
    and discussions with the confidential informant and the
```

```
defendant?
1
 2
              MS. HEINZ: No, not exactly, because some of those
 3
    have been declassified, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. The remaining items that the
 5
    Government is now looking at -- apparently this person who sits
 6
     in Washington somewhere and sits there and makes a determination
7
    as to whether or not there is any remaining classified
     information on any of those recordings -- does that person have
 8
9
    all of those recordings, both audio and video, necessary to make
    that determination?
10
11
              MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: And when is that determination going to be
13
    made?
              MS. HEINZ: I'm sorry, your Honor. Because it's not
14
15
    mine to be made, I don't know exactly --
16
               THE COURT: It's not a question of yours to be made.
    You represent the United States government. You have an FBI
17
18
    agent here. This person is not a mystery. And what I'm going
19
    to do is I'm going to order that person to come to every hearing
2.0
    from now on unless you have some realtime information with
21
    respect to how long it's going to take to accomplish things
22
    because I'll make an order that by next Friday, it all be done
23
    and turned over, whatever is non-classified -- turned over to
24
    the Defense, if that's the way you want to proceed.
25
               I'm trying to give everybody an opportunity to prepare
```

```
their case, but I'm not going to sit here and have these dates
1
2
     floating around. If that person needs to be here, then for all
     future hearings, that person will be here.
 3
              MS. HEINZ: I understand, your Honor. Could I confer
 4
 5
    one second?
 6
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
 7
             (Ms. Heinz and Agent Civiletto confer off the record)
              MS. HEINZ: The transcripts and the recordings should
 8
    be declassified within the next two weeks.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Well, that's not good enough. It's going
11
    to have to be done quicker.
12
              MS. HEINZ: By a week from Friday.
1.3
              THE COURT: Which is? The 15th?
              MS. HEINZ: It would be the 15th, your Honor.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. And what other -- well, that's
     the purpose of the report that the Government is going to file
16
    with respect to identifying for me what the potential -- the
17
18
     identity of the potential classified information is, and
19
     similarly as we just went through, the 50 hours of audio and
2.0
    video, when this classifying person from the FBI is going to
21
    make the determination as to each of the items that you're going
22
    to specifically set forth in this Joint Report, when that
23
    decision is going to be made with respect to whether or not it's
2.4
    going to continue to be classified or not classified so I can
25
    have an idea then of what it is that we're talking about that is
```

going to remain classified.

1.3

2.0

2.4

With respect to the other issues that -- the problem I have with the supplemental notice is when -- what I want to avoid in any future filings is generalizations in terms of the unpredictable time that it will take to do certain things. It's not unpredictable. If there is an estimate, then I need an estimate. And if I don't agree with the estimate, then at the next hearing, I'm going to say that the estimate is not acceptable and that it's going to be done by a certain date and I'm going to enter such an order.

But this wait and see about it may happen, it may not happen, it's unpredictable, it's not unpredictable, it's likely to do this, it's likely to do that, doesn't do anything for my ability to make any determinations in terms of dates that I should set in this case.

So that's the purpose of what I'm trying to do. I'm trying to make sure that everybody has adequate time to do what's necessary in this case, but I'm not going to, until I get a better comfort level, rely simply on counsel's generalizations that let's try April 1st for a trial date. And as I said, what's going to happen is as it gets close to April, you are going to be running in here saying I don't have this or I do have that, and we're going to eliminate all that by this procedure.

And the final item, as best I could -- this is

```
directed to the Defense.
1
 2
              As I was able to piece together, we talked about
    third-party issues. They shouldn't wait. It seemed to me that
 3
 4
    there were a lot of issues that -- and I appreciate your putting
 5
    them in the report, but there are a lot of issues that had
 6
    nothing to do with the defense of the case, and I'm specifically
 7
    referring now to Paragraph 2 and Paragraph 2(1), that those
    issues had nothing to do with the defense of the case, but
 8
    they're more sentencing issues. And if that's not accurate, I
 9
    am going to need from the Defense a statement or something that
10
11
     there are no issues with respect to your client's competence to
    stand trial.
12
13
              MS. CADER: I understand, your Honor, and we will
    provide the Court -- I'm sorry -- we will provide the Court with
14
15
    that analysis when we're able to have it. At this time, we do
16
    not believe that that is an issue.
17
               THE COURT: All right.
18
              MS. CADER: I can say that, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Because if it's going to be an issue, we
2.0
    need to deal with that issue immediately.
              MS. CADER: I understand, your Honor.
21
22
              THE COURT: And there are provisions to deal with that
23
     issue.
2.4
              MS. CADER: I understand.
                           So as of today, you're representing to me
25
               THE COURT:
```

```
that there is no issue with respect to your client's competence
1
 2
    to stand trial.
 3
               MS. CADER: As of today I am representing that.
               THE COURT: As soon as there is an issue, you will --
 4
 5
               MS. CADER: Of course.
               THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
               All right. The final item that you're going to
     include in the Joint Report is an identification of the motions
 8
 9
    that you intend to file in this case, and you're going to
    discuss those motions and then you're going to set out a
10
11
     schedule for the filing of those motions and oppositions to
12
    those motions. We're not going to wait until the end of the
1.3
    case to file all the motions.
               There are certain motions that are referred to that I
14
15
    understand the Defense is investigating as to whether or not it
16
     intends to file in this case. Most of -- I shouldn't say most
     of, but those motions that are referenced in, for example,
17
18
    Paragraph 6, the one motion is not going to be necessary based
19
    on the Joint Report, but the other motions, it seems to me, are
2.0
    motions that can be filed very early in the case rather than
21
    waiting until immediately before trial, because to the extent
22
     that any of those motions are case dispositive, we need to have
23
    those heard before everybody incurs enormous time and expense in
2.4
    preparing the case for trial.
               So in your Joint Report, there shall be a section that
25
```

```
includes the motions that the Defense reasonably expects to file
1
2
     in this case, and at that point in time, you can meet and confer
    in terms of trying to resolve any of those motions, and to the
 3
    extent they can be resolved, then obviously you can indicate in
 4
 5
     the Joint Report that those motions won't be necessary.
 6
               But to the extent that you're going to pursue those
7
    motions, a briefing schedule that gives you an opportunity to
    prepare the motions, gives the Government an opportunity to
 8
    respond, and then a proposed hearing date for those motions.
 9
10
    And as I said, you want to get those motions filed and heard and
11
     resolved at the earliest opportunity.
12
               So does anybody have any questions about the nature of
13
    what I'm looking for in terms of this Joint Report?
               MS. CADER: Your Honor, I believe I have -- I don't
14
15
    have specific questions, but I would want to raise some issues
16
     that I want to make sure should be appropriately placed in the
17
     report.
18
               THE COURT: All right.
19
               MS. CADER: The first is that --
2.0
               THE COURT: Why don't you approach the lectern.
21
               MS. CADER:
                           I apologize, your Honor.
22
               The first is that in the transcripts of the
23
    conversations, of which I believe we've received approximately
    one-third of those conversations --
2.4
25
                           This is the group of the 50 hours of audio
               THE COURT:
```

and video?

1 4

2.0

MS. CADER: Yes. So we've only received the transcripts. We have not yet received the audio, any of the audio, but my understanding is that there is redactions in the transcripts that are also reflected and are redacted in the audio. When we receive them, they will match.

And with our review thus far, we have -- we're making a running log of our questions of some of those redactions that don't seem to be -- they seem to be overly inclusive, and we've raised this issue with the Government, and they have -- they have indicated that when we do give them that information, which we will do as soon as possible, your Honor, that it's not simply an issue of unredacting for them to do, but that they need to go back to their classifying personnel to discuss the redactions.

So that's something that I just wanted to make the Court aware of that we will include in our Joint Report as part of the process that we understand.

THE COURT: Let me shortcut all of this.

Is it now my understanding that when you say that you're producing the audio and video of the 50 -- and we'll call it the 50 hours; I don't know how many hours there are -- and that those -- those audio and video recordings have now been reviewed by this person sitting in Washington, D.C., that there are materials on there that are still, in the Government's view, classified and therefore redacted?

1 MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Well, you know, that's totally inconsistent. Now, that's the third level -- so I'm thinking 3 4 that the audio and video go to this individual, and the audio 5 and video are then simply given to the Defense. And now the Defense is telling me that there is classified information on 6 7 there. 8 MS. HEINZ: There are, on the transcripts -- there are specific words, not a lot of them -- but there are specific 9 words that are redacted and that are considered still -- and the 10 11 transcripts are produced in the form, in the redacted form --12 they're declassified that way and produced in discovery. The Government has informed the Defense that it is 13 happy to sit down with the Defense and talk about different 14 15 small redactions that are in these transcripts and happy to work that through with them. 16 But the Government -- it's true that if the Defense 17 18 wants something unredacted, the Government will have to -- the 19 prosecutor will have to go back to the FBI and say, Can this be 20 unredacted or at least revealed to the Defense in some way and I 21 will have to get an answer from the classification authority. 22 THE COURT: Now, why would you ever think that the 23 Defense is going to accept a redacted document and not ask you

Information Officer to unredact it? I mean, there is no defense

to do exactly what you just said, to go back to the Classified

24

25

```
lawyer in the world that is going to simply accept the
1
2
    Government's redacted versions.
               So knowing that, it would seem to me that you should
 3
     immediately have gone back to this person and said, What are all
 4
 5
     these redactions? Are they really necessary?
 6
              MS. HEINZ: And, your Honor, I did go back with
7
    respect to certain redactions and say, I don't think this is
8
    necessary and talk to the classifying authority, and in some
    cases, the classifying authority has lifted the redactions, and
9
    we are producing revised transcripts.
10
11
               But with respect to certain items there, I thought
12
    that it was better -- and this is not a new discussion. We've
1.3
    been having a discussion with defense counsel about this.
14
    thought that defense counsel should see those and should come
    back and say, Okay, I really need to know what's under this
15
16
    small little piece of black here, in which case then I can
17
    address it with the classifying authority.
               THE COURT: Why wouldn't they want to see what's
18
19
    underneath the redaction?
20
              MS. HEINZ: Well, in some cases, your Honor, it is a
21
    completely irrelevant conversation that just simply happened to
22
    get captured on the tape.
               THE COURT: Well, then it shouldn't be any problem
23
24
    with the classifying officer if it's totally irrelevant. I
25
    mean, that's what I don't understand. You can't -- all right.
```

Well, then I guess I want this Joint Report done immediately. 1 2 With respect to the redactions, I'm going to ask you to start the process with this Classified Information Officer 3 and give that Classified Information Officer my view that none 4 5 of these redactions, especially with respect to this 50 hours --6 I can't imagine why there's classified information on there, but 7 to the extent there is, they better have a good reason, and they really need to go through those redacted transcripts with a view 8 9 of providing -- obviously pursuant to a protective order or some other vehicle -- the Defense with unredacted transcripts. And 10 11 then I'll deal with that issue later on, and it's going to be in 12 the form of some kind of a Joint Report. 13 We're going to have to have a showing as to each of those redacted items and then we're going to have the Classified 1 4 15 Information Officer out here making determinations, probably in 16 short order. 17 All right. What else? 18 MS. CADER: Your Honor, as --19 THE COURT: You know, in the future, will you please 2.0 let me know what we're dealing with and talking about in terms of this discovery. Each time I talk to you, I find a new level 21 22 of issues. And my purpose is to set dates that are meaningful 23 dates, and I don't want to have this discussion again. 24 MS. HEINZ: Yes, your Honor. I will be happy to add

some more items after the defense counsel is finished.

25

```
MS. CADER: Your Honor, as far as the Government's
1
2
    Notice of an Intent to File Materials Pursuant to Section 4 of
    CIPA, as the Court knows from our pleading regarding our
 3
 4
    objection to that, I guess, anticipated filing, one of our
 5
    requests of the Government has been that we be -- start the
 6
    process for security clearance for our team if -- so that if
7
    this issue, when they file this information, becomes relevant,
    we can have at least some level of clearance to be able to argue
 8
9
    that we should be able to see it.
10
               THE COURT: Well, you don't need security clearance to
11
    arque that you should see it. You're not going to see it.
12
    going to come to me, and I'm going to make the determination
1.3
    that you're not entitled to be present during that examination,
14
    as I understand Section 4 -- and this is my first time with the
15
    Classified Procedures Act, but based upon what I read -- I don't
16
     know what to tell you in terms of -- you can apply on your own
17
     for a top secret clearance, can't you? I mean, you don't need
    the Government.
18
19
              MS. CADER: We can apply for our team for clearance.
20
     I haven't looked into --
21
               THE COURT: Isn't there someone in your office that
22
    already has a clearance?
              MS. CADER: There likely is someone who has a
23
24
    clearance, your Honor. I don't believe our office has dealt
25
    much with CIPA either, but I can look into that.
```

```
THE COURT: We're not going to delay the process of
1
2
    the trial for someone to get a top secret clearance. You should
    have someone over in your office who has a top secret clearance
 3
 4
    who can be added on to this case to assist, if there is any
 5
    ability to participate in these proceedings, and obviously if
 6
     there is, there is a need for a top secret clearance.
              MS. CADER: I'll make that determination --
 7
              THE COURT: I'm sure Mr. Kennedy has a top secret
 8
9
    clearance because of his regal position as head of the Public
10
     Defender's Office. I know court reporters have top secret
11
    clearance.
12
              MS. CADER: I will ascertain that, your Honor. Just
    wanted to -- because it's my first time dealing with CIPA as
13
14
    well, I wanted to be clear about my -- about my filing in that I
15
     think -- what the Government has represented is that it's
16
     supplemental filing with the classified information, which it
17
     filed in camera and ex parte, was not pursuant to Section 4.
                                                                   Ιt
18
    was something separate from that. I don't know --
19
               THE COURT: Well, there wasn't any classified
2.0
     information in the filing. It was simply an identification of
21
     things that could possibly come to light and some attempts to
22
     educate me in terms of how that's going to come about and when
23
     it's going to come about.
24
              MS. CADER: I understand. So then my --
25
              THE COURT:
                           That's why I didn't understand and I
```

```
started the hearing off with why wasn't it filed on the public
1
2
     record because I didn't view -- even though it has a red cover
    on it with SECRET on it, I --
 3
 4
              MS. CADER: Then my motion was premature, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: I think so.
              MS. CADER: So then it would just be relevant for if
 6
7
    there are future Section 4 filings.
               THE COURT: Right. But in terms of the top secret
 8
    clearance, I think you need to find somebody over in your office
 9
    who has -- I'm sure Mr. Iverson, after all his years of being in
10
    the Public Defender's Office -- he is left, isn't he? He's
11
    still there?
12
13
              MS. CADER: He is still there, your Honor.
               I will see, and we will make those representations in
14
15
    the joint pleading to the Court.
16
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. CADER: And those are all the questions that I
17
18
    had, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. So then the question is when
2.0
    we're going to get the Joint Report.
21
               So my suggestion is that counsel meet and --
22
    personally meet and confer by November 13th and file the Joint
23
    Report by November 15th, and then we'll have a further hearing
2.4
    the following week.
               How is November 19th at 10:00 on counsel's calendars?
25
```

```
The Court's indulgence.
1
               MS. CADER:
 2
              MS. HEINZ: That's fine with the Government,
 3
    your Honor.
 4
              MS. CADER: That's fine, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. So that will be the schedule.
               Is there something else the Government wants to add to
 6
7
    educate me in terms of --
 8
              MS. HEINZ: Well, yes, your Honor. I know this -- I
    thought that I -- we had covered this in the supplemental
 9
10
     filing, but I -- in -- to make sure that we brought to the
11
    Court's attention where I think, you know, time problems happen
12
    in these kind of cases, and they often happen when we get into
1.3
    CIPA filings where the Government has to go to other agencies
    who are not involved in the case and get information from them,
1 4
15
    declarations from them to assist and attach to filings, and that
16
    often takes time, your Honor. It also takes time to review
    classified information to be able to write the filings.
17
18
              And beyond that, I really can't be more specific in
19
                 I will try to be more specific in the classified
    this arena.
2.0
     filing that your Honor has asked us to file.
21
               THE COURT: There are no dates in the supplemental
22
     filing. I mean, I've got unpredictable, don't know, and that's
23
     just simply not going to be acceptable. If it's going to take a
24
    year, then tell me it's going to take a year and I'll deal with
25
    it, but I'm not going to deal with unpredictability because, in
```

```
my view, it's all predictable because these are all human beings
1
2
    who have jobs, they have functions, and they have other things
 3
    to do, and they have schedules.
 4
              And I'm not trying to be onerous, but I'm not going to
 5
    let this case just bounce along because somebody in Washington
 6
     isn't paying attention to what needs to be paid attention to.
 7
              And I'm not accusing or saying that that's true, but I
8
    want to keep control over the management of this case so that we
9
    can proceed in an orderly fashion and the Defense can have
10
    everything they are entitled to, and both the Government and the
11
     Defense can adequately prepare the case for trial, and then if
12
     there is going to be a trial, to try the case.
13
              But we're going to do it on my schedule and not on
    counsel's schedules or some Classified Information Officer's
14
     schedule. I'm going to set the schedule.
15
               So I need hard dates or estimates of hard dates in all
16
    of the filings. And especially this Joint Report. Because the
17
18
    next time we meet, I'm going to set dates, and those are going
19
    to be the dates, and they're not going to change. Because
20
    April 1st, in my view, is unrealistic. I mean, that I can tell
    you right now is not going to happen based upon what I know of
21
22
    the case.
23
              All right. Anything else?
24
              MS. CADER: No. Thank you, your Honor.
25
                           Thank you, your Honor.
              MS. HEINZ:
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 1
 2
                    (Proceedings adjourned at 11:57 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                         CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
 2
 3
     COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
 4
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 5
 6
 7
                I, Pamela A. Batalo, Federal Official Realtime Court
     Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, in and for the
 8
 9
     United States District Court for the Central District of
10
     California, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
11
     Title 18, United States Code, that the foregoing is a true and
12
     correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings
1.3
     held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page
14
     format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial
     Conference of the United States.
15
16
17
     Date: November 7, 2013
18
19
2.0
     /s/ Pamela A. Batalo
     Pamela A. Batalo, CSR No. 3593, FCRR, RMR
21
     Federal Official Court Reporter
22
2.3
24
25
```